**MICT SETA Head Office** 

Supply Chain Management 19 Richards Drive Gallagher Convention Centre, Gallagher House Level 3 West Wing Tel +27 11 207 2600

E-mail: rfqs@mict.org.za

RFQ NUMBER	RFQ/MICT/72/2023
RFQ DESCRIPTION	APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO SUPPLY AND SET-UP A SEAMLESS LED DISPLAY SCREEN FOR THE MICT SETA AGM
RFQ ISSUE DATE	02 October 2023
BRIEFING SESSION	N/A
CLOSING DATE & TIME	11 October 2023 @ 15:00 PM ( EXTENDED CLOSING DATE) RFQ submitted after the stipulated closing date and time will not be
LOCATION FOR SUBMISSIONS	<u>rfqs@mict.org.za</u>
NO: OF DOCUMENTS	1 SOFT COPY

For queries, please contact rfqs@mict.org.za before the closing date of this RFQ.

The MICT SETA requests your quotation on the services listed above. Please furnish us with all the information as requested and return your quotation on the date and time stipulated above. Late and incomplete submissions will invalidate the quote submitted.

SUPPLIER NAME:	
NATIONAL TREASURY (CSD) S	UPPLIER NUMBER:
POSTAL ADDRESS:	
TELEPHONE NO:	
E MAIL ADDRESS:	
CONTACT PERSON:	
CELL NO:	
SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:	

## SUPPLIER REGISTRATION ON CSD

Prospective suppliers must register on the National Treasury Central Supplier database in terms of National Treasury circular no 4A of 2016/17. The bidder shall register prior submitting a proposal/bid.

RFQ/MICT/72/2023 1 of 17 | Page



**MICT SETA Head Office** 

Supply Chain Management 19 Richards Drive Gallagher Convention Centre, Gallagher House Level 3 West Wing Tel +27 11 207 2600

E-mail: rfqs@mict.org.za

## **RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS CHECKLIST**

**quotation invitation document must be completed, signed and submitted as a whole** by the authorised Company representative. All forms must be properly completed, list below serve as a checklist of your RFQ submission.

(Tick in the relevant block below)

DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
CSD Central Supplier Database (CSD) Registration Report		
Pricing Schedule		
Valid Tax Clearance Certificate (S) and or proof of application endorsed by SARS and/or SARS-issued verification pin		
SBD 4 – Bidder's Disclosure		
SBD 6.1 Procurement Claim Form		
CIPC Registration Document		
Certified copy of company Director(s) and/or		
Shareholders certificate		

Note: This RFQ must be completed and signed by the authorised company representative

RFQ/MICT/72/2023 2 of 17 | Page



**MICT SETA Head Office** 

Supply Chain Management
19 Richards Drive
Gallagher Convention Centre, Gallagher House
Level 3 West Wing
Tel +27 11 207 2600

E-mail: rfqs@mict.org.za

## MICT SETA -QUOTATION CONDITIONS

## 1.QUOTATION CONDITIONS

NOTE: Quotation for the supply of goods or services described in this document are invited in accordance with the provision of Government Procurement: General Conditions of Contract available for download from <a href="http://www.treasury.gov.za/divisions/ocpo/sc/GeneralConditions/">http://www.treasury.gov.za/divisions/ocpo/sc/GeneralConditions/</a>

- a. MICT SETA does not bind itself to accept the lowest or any RFQ, nor shall it be responsible for or pay any expenses or losses which may be incurred by the bidder in the preparation and delivery of the RFQ.
- b. No RFQ shall be deemed to have been accepted unless and until a formal contract/letter of intent is prepared and executed.

## 1.1 MICT SETA reserves the right to:

- a. Not evaluate and award RFQ that do not comply strictly with the requirements of this RFQ.
- b. Make a selection solely on the information received in the RFQs and Enter into negotiations with any one or more of the preferred bidder(s) based on the criteria specified in the evaluation of this RFQ.
- c. Contact any bidder during the evaluation process, in order to clarify any information, without informing any other bidders, and no change in the content of the RFQ shall be sought, offered, or permitted.
- d. Award a contract to one or more bidder(s).
- e. Withdraw the RFQ at any stage
- f. Accept a separate RFQ or any RFQ in part or full at its own discretion.
- g. Cancel this RFQ or any part thereof at any stage as prescribed in the PPPFA regulation.
- h. Select the bidder(s) for further negotiations on the basis of the greatest benefit to MICT SETA and not necessarily on the basis of the lowest costs

#### 2. COST OF BIDDING

The bidder shall bear all costs and expenses associated with the preparation and submission of its RFQ or RFQ, and the MICT SETA shall under no circumstances be responsible or liable for any such costs, regardless of, without limitation, the conduct or outcome of the bidding, evaluation, and selection processes.

RFQ/MICT/72/2023 3 of 17 | P a g e

## **SPECIFICATION**

# APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO SUPPLY AND SET-UP A SEAMLESS LED DISPLAY SCREEN FOR THE MICT SETA AGM

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The MICT SETA seeks to **hire** a seamless LED display screen for our Annual General Meeting (AGM), scheduled to take place in **Cape Town** on **Friday 27 October 2023**. This is a corporate event attended by our constituent stakeholders in the media and ICT sector and other dignitaries.

The stage dimensions are  $6m \times 3m$ . The appointed service provider will be responsible for delivering exceptional services in accordance with the scope of work, ensuring the adherence to the MICT SETA's brand guidelines and image.

#### 2. SCOPE OF WORK

The appointed service provider will be expected to perform the following services as duties and responsibilities:

## 2.1. Seamless LED display screen for stage hire

- LED Panel Type Indoor, the service provider will be expected to supply and set-up a seamless LED display screen for indoor as a backdrop for the stage.
- **Size:** 6m x 3m
- The seamless LED display screen must be customisable to meet the MICT SETA branding requirements.
- Pixel Pitch Optimal resolution. The seamless LED display screen should have an Ultra high contrast ratio, with zero pixelation (no LCD displays). Pixel density for desired viewing distance
- Screen resolution Full HD 4K for sharp clear visuals. Image quality creating maximum impact for digital content.
- Brightness and contrast minimum and relevant adaptability for clear visibility in different lighting conditions, ensuring energy efficiency.
- Colour display accuracy and depth showing uniformity of brightness and colour across the screen.
- Panel Technology ensure seamless integration of LED panels to minimise visible seams between tiles.
- Compatibility with input sources and control systems for easy integration into existing setups

**RFQ/MICT/72/2022** 4 of 17 | P a g e

Local Footp	ther third-party print (Western C to optimally se	Cape) - The s	ervice provic	ler should pre	e required e a branch

**RFQ/MICT/72/2023** 5 of 17 | P a g e

3. PRICING SCHEDULE					
lame of bidder	_ RFQ numb	er			
Closing date					
FQs shall remain valid for acceptance for a period of ate.	90 days cou	unted form the	e closing		
dders to provide further cost breakdown where necessary e overall RFQ price (Total) should be included. The below t			ıb-total and		
Item <u>Requirement Description</u>	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL		
APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO SUPPLY AND					
SET-UP A SEAMLESS LED DISPLAY SCREEN FOR THE					
MICT SETA AGM					
Prices are to be quoted at an all-inclusive rate					
Supply and set-up of a seamless LED display	1				
screen for the MICT SETA AGM					
Sub-Total R					
		VAT @15%	R		
TOTAL	PRICE (INC	LUDING VAT)	R		
Complete below:					
<ol> <li>Delivery Address: Cape Town Convention Centre</li> <li>Lower Long Street</li> </ol>	9				
Cape Town					
<ul><li>2. Indicate Delivery period after order receipt</li><li>3. Is delivery period fixed? Yes/No</li></ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
4. Is the price(s) fixed? <b>Yes/No</b>					
5. Is the quote strictly to specification? Yes/No					
We, the undersigned, agree that this bidding price short acceptance for the period stipulated above.	all remain bi	nding on me/	us and ope		
uthorised Company Representative:					
apacity under which this quote is signed					
gnature:					

Date:

## 4. EVALUATION CRITERIA

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

MICT SETA complies with the provisions of the Public Finance Management Act, Act No. 1 of 1999 as amended; Treasury Regulations of 2005; the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, Act No. 5 of 2000; Preferential Procurement Regulations of 2022; and the MICT SETA Supply Chain Management (SCM) Policy. RFQ's received will be evaluated on the following criteria:

## **STAGE 1: FUNCTIONAL CRITERIA**

Quotations submitted will be evaluated on the technical functionality criteria out of a maximum of 100 points. A threshold of 70 out of the 100 points has been set.

Only bidders that have met or exceeded the qualification threshold on technical functionality of 70 points will qualify for further evaluation on price & specific goals.

FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIONS	MAXIMU M SCORE	
COMPANY PROFILE	30	
Bidders are required to submit a detailed company profile indicating five (05) years of experience in corporate events production.		
<ul> <li>Points on submission of detailed company profile will be allocated as follows:</li> <li>Submission of detailed company profile indicating five (05) years and above experience = 30 points</li> <li>Submission of detailed company profile indicating four (04) years of experience = 25 points</li> <li>Submission of detailed company profile indicating three (03) years of experience = 20 points</li> <li>Submission of detailed company profile indicating two (02) years of experience = 15 points</li> <li>Submission of detailed company profile indicating one (01) year of experience = 10 points</li> <li>Submission of detailed company profile indicating less than one (01) year of experience = 0 point</li> <li>Non-Compliance with the minimum requirements = 0 points</li> </ul>		
REFERENCE LETTERS	10	
Bidders must demonstrate experience in rendering corporate events production services in the past seven (07) years. Reference letters must be for bidder's clients within Republic of South Africa (RSA). Bidders must provide contactable reference letters from different clients, on client's letterhead, fully signed, dated, and indicate project description.		

**RFQ/MICT/72/2022** 7 of 17 | P a g e

## Points on submission of contactable reference will be allocated as follows:

- Submission of five (05) or more reference letters in corporate events production from different clients = 10 points
- Submission of four (04) reference letters in corporate events production from different clients = 08 points
- Submission of three (03) reference letters in corporate events production from different clients = **06 points**
- Submission of two (02) reference letters in corporate events production from different clients = **04 points**
- Submission of one (01) reference letter in corporate events production = 02
   points

## Non-Compliance with the minimum requirements = 0 points

Important: In the event of sub-contracting, the bidder must furnish the above reference letters of the main bidder. MICT SETA reserves the right to contact references prior to award.

## PROJECTS EVENTS PORTFOLIO OF EVIDENCE

40

Bidders must provide project events implemented in the form of a Portfolio of Evidence (POE). Corporate events should include installation of LED Seamless display screens. The project events must be aligned to the reference letters submitted.

## Points on submission of Portfolio of Evidence will be allocated as follows:

- Submission of five (05) portfolio of evidence (POE) in corporate events production = **40 points**
- Submission of four (04) portfolio of evidence (POE) in corporate events production = **35 points**
- Submission of three (03) portfolio of evidence (POE) in corporate events production **30 points**
- Submission of two (02) portfolio of evidence (POE) in corporate events production = **20 points**
- Submission of one (01) portfolio of evidence (POE) in corporate events production = 10 points

## Non-Compliance with the minimum requirements = 0 points

PROJECT TEAM 15

Bidders must provide CV/profile of a Project Manager with experience in managing corporate events production.

Bidder's project manager must have experience in managing corporate events production. The project Manager's CV/profile should clearly indicate the projects, project duration, and names of clients or employers.

## Points on submission of CV/profile of the Project Manager will be allocated as follows:

Five (05) years and above project management experience in corporate events production = 15 points

- Four (04) years project management experience in corporate events production = 12 points Three (03) years project management experience in corporate events production = 09 points
- Two (02) years project management experience in corporate events production = 06 points
- One (01) year project management experience in corporate events production = 03 points
- Less than one (01) year project management experience in corporate events production = 0 points

Non-Compliance with the minimum requirements = 0 points

## **BIDDER'S LOCAL FOOTPRINT (WESTERN CAPE)** 05 Bidders should preferably have a branch in Cape Town to optimally service the event in a cost – effective manner. Bidders must submit proof of registered Cape Town company address. The following documents will be acceptable as proof of address: Fully signed Lease Agreement. i) ii) Utility bill (not older than three (03) months). Points for submission of proof of address will be allocated as follows: Submission of proof of registered Cape Town company address = 05 points Non-compliance with the minimum requirement = 0 points **TOTAL SCORE** 70 MINIMUM REQUIREMENT 100

Note: Bidders that do not meet the minimum threshold will be eliminated from further evaluation process, i.e., Price and Specific Goals.

#### STAGE 2: PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS

Only bidders that have met the requirements of the Functionality Criteria will qualify for further evaluation on Price and Specific Goals according to the 80/20 preference point system in terms of the Preferential Procurement Regulations 2022, where 80 points will be for Price and 20 points will be for Specific Goals.

Specific Goal to be evaluated out of **20 Points**:

Special Goal Criteria	Points
Enterprise which is at least 51% owned by historically	10
disadvantaged persons.	
Enterprise which is at least 51% owned by historically	5
disadvantaged women.	
Enterprise which is at least 51% owned by historically	5
disadvantaged youth.	
Total	20

<sup>\*\*</sup> Enterprises that are not owned by historically disadvantaged persons will be allocated 0 points.

RFQ/MICT/72/2023 9 of 17 | Page

## Bidder must submit the following documents:

- Certified ID copies of the company directors as per the CIPC documents. (Certified copies must not be older than 3 months)
- CIPC Documents and/or Shareholder's certificate.

Failure on the part of a service provider to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this RFQ to claim points for specific goals, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

**RFQ/MICT/72/2023 10 of 17 |** P a g e

## **BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE**

#### 1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

## 2. Bidder's declaration

- 2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest<sup>1</sup> in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**
- 2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.1.2.	Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? <b>YES/NO</b>
2.2.1	If so, furnish particulars:

RFQ/MICT/72/2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

2.3	Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? YES/NO
2.3.1	If so, furnish particulars:
3 D	ECLARATION
	I, the undersigned, (name)
3.1	I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
3.2	I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
3.3	The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium <sup>2</sup> will not be construed as collusive bidding.
3.4	In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
3.4	The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.

3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.

3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition

RFQ/MICT/72/2023

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

Signature	Date
Position	Name of bidder

## PREFERENCE PROCUREMENT CLAIM FORM

## PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

#### 1 GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:
  - the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
  - the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

## 1.2 To be completed by the organ of state

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
- b) the **80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.
- 1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:
  - (a) Price: and
  - (b) Specific Goals.

## 1.4 To be completed by the organ of state:

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

## 2 DEFINITIONS

- (a) "tender" means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) "price" means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) "rand value" means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) "tender for income-generating contracts" means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of incomegenerating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) "**the Act**" means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

## 3 FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

#### 3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

## 3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80\left(1 - rac{Pt - P\,min}{P\,min}
ight)$$
 or  $Ps = 90\left(1 - rac{Pt - P\,min}{P\,min}
ight)$ 

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

## 3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

## 3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80\left(1 + \frac{Pt - Pmax}{Pmax}\right)$$
 or  $Ps = 90\left(1 + \frac{Pt - Pmax}{Pmax}\right)$ 

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

## 4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
  - (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
  - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below. Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system)  (To be completed by the tenderer)
Enterprise which is at least 51% owned by historically disadvantaged persons.	10	
Enterprise which is at least 51% owned by historically disadvantaged women.	5	
Enterprise which is at least 51% owned by historically disadvantaged youth.	5	

DECLA	RATION \	WITH REGARD TO CO	OMPANY/FIRM		
4.3.	Name of company/firm				
4.4.	Company registration number:				
4.5.	TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM  Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium  One-person business/sole propriety  Close corporation  Public Company  Personal Liability Company  (Pty) Limited  Non-Profit Company  State Owned Company  [TICK APPLICABLE BOX]				
4.6.	certify	s duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, med, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, m for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:			
	<ul> <li>i) The information furnished is true and correct;</li> <li>ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Corindicated in paragraph 1 of this form;</li> <li>iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish doc proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correctiv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent boof the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state addition to any other remedy it may have –</li> </ul>				
	(c (k (c	of that person's cancel the coras a result of he such cancellating recommend the directors, or or fraudulent bas organ of state alteram partern	ntract and claim any damages which it has suffered aving to make less favourable arrangements due to		
		URNAME AND NAME: ATE: .DDRESS:	SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)		