

<b>RFQ NUMBER</b>	<b>RFQ/MICT/106/2025</b>
<b>RFQ DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>APPOINTMENT OF A REPUTABLE SERVICE PROVIDER FOR THE PROVISION OF A REGULATORY COMPLIANCE FRAMEWORK AND IMPLEMENTATION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE MONITORING SERVICE</b>
<b>RFQ ISSUE DATE</b>	<b>29 January 2026</b>
<b>BRIEFING SESSION</b>	<b><u>N/A</u></b>
<b>CLOSING DATE &amp; TIME</b>	<b>05 February 2026 @ 11:00 AM South African Time, RFQ submitted after the stipulated closing date and time will not be considered.</b>
<b>LOCATION FOR SUBMISSIONS</b>	<b><u>rfqs@mict.org.za</u></b>
<b>NO: OF DOCUMENTS</b>	<b>1 SOFT COPY</b>

For queries, please contact [rfqs@mict.org.za](mailto:rfqs@mict.org.za) before the closing date of this RFQ.

The MICT SETA requests your quotation on the services listed above. Please furnish us with all the information as requested and return your quotation on the date and time stipulated above.

**Late and incomplete submissions will invalidate the quote submitted.**

SUPPLIER NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

NATIONAL TREASURY (CSD) SUPPLIER NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

POSTAL ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE NO: \_\_\_\_\_

E MAIL ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

CONTACT PERSON: \_\_\_\_\_

CELL NO: \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER: \_\_\_\_\_

### **SUPPLIER REGISTRATION ON CSD**

Prospective suppliers must register on the National Treasury Central Supplier database in terms of National Treasury circular no 4A of 2016/17. The bidder shall register prior submitting a proposal/bid.

## MICT SETA: CHECKLIST INFORMATION

### RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS CHECKLIST

**Request For Quotation invitation document must be completed, signed and submitted as a whole** by the authorised Company representative. All forms must be properly completed, list below serve as a checklist of your RFQ submission.

(**Tick in the relevant block below**)

DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
CSD Central Supplier Database (CSD) Registration Report		
Pricing Schedule		
Valid Tax Clearance Certificate(s) and/or proof of application endorsed by <b>SARS</b> and/or <b>SARS-issued</b> verification pin		
<b>SBD 4 – Bidder's Disclosure</b>		
<b>SBD 6.1 - Preferential Procurement Claim Form</b>		
Certified Copy of director(s) ID(s) not older than six (06) months		
CIPC Document		
Shareholding Certificate		
<b>Bidder's eligibility: Form A</b>		

**Note: This RFQ must be completed by the authorised company representative**

## MICT SETA –QUOTATION CONDITIONS

### 1. QUOTATION CONDITIONS

NOTE: Quotation for the supply of goods or services described in this document are invited in accordance with the provision of Government Procurement: General Conditions of Contract available for download from <http://www.treasury.gov.za/divisions/ocpo/sc/GeneralConditions/>

- a. **MICT SETA** does not bind itself to accept the lowest or any RFQ, nor shall it be responsible for or pay any expenses or losses which may be incurred by the bidder in the preparation and delivery of the RFQ.
- b. No RFQ shall be deemed to have been accepted unless and until a formal contract/letter of intent is prepared and executed.

#### 1.1 **MICT SETA** reserves the right to:

- a. Not evaluate and award RFQ that do not comply strictly with the requirements of this RFQ.
- b. Make a selection solely on the information received in the RFQs and Enter into negotiations with any one or more of the preferred bidder(s) based on the criteria specified in the evaluation of this RFQ.
- c. Contact any bidder during the evaluation process, in order to clarify any information, without informing any other bidders, and no change in the content of the RFQ shall be sought, offered, or permitted.
- d. Award a contract to one or more bidder(s).
- e. Withdraw the RFQ at any stage
- f. Accept a separate RFQ or any RFQ in part or full at its own discretion.
- g. Cancel this RFQ or any part thereof at any stage as prescribed in the PPPFA regulation.
- h. Select the bidder(s) for further negotiations based on the greatest benefit to MICT SETA and not necessarily on the basis of the lowest costs

### 2. COST OF BIDDING

The bidder shall bear all costs and expenses associated with the preparation and submission of its RFQ or RFQ, and the MICT SETA shall under no circumstances be responsible or liable for any such costs, regardless of, without limitation, the conduct or outcome of the bidding, evaluation, and selection processes.

## FORM A: BIDDER'S ELIGIBILITY FORM

**Name of Bidder:**

**RFQ Number:**

We, the undersigned, offer to provide the required services in accordance with the above Request for quotation and hereby declare that our firm, persons, or its directors, including any JV/Consortium /Association members or subcontractors or suppliers for any part of the contract:

- a) is not under procurement prohibition by National Treasury, from *doing business with the public sector*;"
- b) have not declared bankruptcy, are not involved in bankruptcy or engaged in corrupt / fraudulent practices, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against them that could impair their operations in the foreseeable future;
- c) undertake not to engage in prescribed practices, including but not limited to corruption, fraud, coercion, collusion, obstruction, or any other unethical practice, with the MICT SETA or any other party, and to conduct business in a manner that averts any financial, operational, reputational or other undue risk to the MICT SETA.
- d) *We declare that all the information and statements made in this Proposal are true and we accept that any misinterpretation or misrepresentation contained in this RFQ submission may lead to elimination of our RFQ submission.*

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

### REQUIREMENT DESCRIPTION: APPOINTMENT OF A REPUTABLE SERVICE PROVIDER FOR THE PROVISION OF COMPREHENSIVE POPIA COMPLIANCE SERVICES

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Media, Information and Communication Technologies Sector Education and Training Authority ("MICT SETA") is established in terms of section 9(1) of the Skills Development Act, 1998 (Act No. 97 of 1998), and is responsible for achieving South Africa's skills development and economic growth within the following five sub-sectors: advertising, film and electronic media, electronics, information technology and telecommunications.

#### 2. BACKGROUND

The MICT SETA as a schedule 3(A) public entity in terms of the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA) scheduling, has a fundamental obligation to ensure regulatory compliance, which encompasses various responsibilities and legal requirements. The MICT SETA is required to implement sound governance practices, ensure compliance with applicable laws, and maintain effective internal controls. Regulatory compliance risks encompass a range of potential negative outcomes arising from non-compliance with laws and regulations. As such, effective risk management strategies are essential for identifying non-compliance.

In order to comply with the above, the MICT SETA has completed the following milestones:

- Development of the MICT SETA Regulatory Universe.
- Initiated the procurement of a Governance, Risk and Compliance management software solution and tool.

While the aforementioned has been initiated, organisational reviews have identified the need to:

- Review the MICT SETA Regulatory Universe.
- Formalise a structured compliance monitoring approach.
- Develop a compliance policy framework and operational processes.
- Enhance oversight, reporting, accountability, and escalation procedures.

To support these improvements, the Entity requires a professional service provider with expertise in governance, risk, and compliance

#### 3. PURPOSE

The MICT SETA seeks to appoint a suitably qualified and experienced service provider to assist with strengthening its governance and compliance environment through the development and implementation of a comprehensive **Regulatory Compliance Monitoring Framework, Regulatory Compliance Policy, and Compliance Implementation Structure**. This intervention will support the MICT SETA's commitment to good corporate governance, adherence to applicable legislation, and effective oversight in line with the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA), National Treasury Regulations, National Archives and Records Service Act, and other relevant regulatory prescripts.

#### 4. OBJECTIVES

The following are the specific objectives:

- a) Develop a comprehensive Regulatory Compliance Monitoring Framework for the MICT SETA.
- b) Develop the Regulatory Compliance Policy.
- c) Establish an appropriate Compliance Implementation Structure, including roles, responsibilities, processes, reporting lines, and supporting tools/templates.
- d) Provide practical guidance, training, and change support during implementation.

## 5. SCOPE OF WORK

- a. Review existing compliance processes, policies, and practices.
- b. Review Regulatory Universe.
- c. Develop a structured compliance monitoring framework aligned to governance best practice.
- d. Define monitoring mechanisms, including control assessments, compliance checklists, compliance plans, and evidence requirements.
- e. Develop procedures for monitoring, reporting, escalation, and remediation of compliance deviations, where identified.
- f. Develop standard templates for compliance reviews, dashboards, and reporting tools.
- g. Develop a reporting structure/ template for the MICT SETA Board and its Committees.
- h. Design a compliance operating model aligned to the MICT SETA's size and mandate.
- i. Provide training sessions for governance structures, management, risk and compliance champions, compliance officials, and staff.
- j. Support piloting and rollout of compliance monitoring tools and processes.
- k. Provide expert advice and change management support during implementation.

### Gap Analysis and Assessment

*Responsibilities and activities of the service provider will include:*

- a) Review of the MICT SETA's regulatory universe.
- b) Review of all MICT SETA compliance processes.
- c) Review of existing forms and templates for incorporation of the relevant POPIA clauses.
- d) Recommend further compliance requirements such as systems and processes to ensure the MICT SETA is fully compliant.

### Training and Awareness

*Responsibilities and activities of the service provider will include:*

- a) Compliance framework awareness training and workshops for:
  - Governance structures (Board and Committees)
  - MANCO (Management Committee)
  - Staff
  - Risk and Compliance Champions.

### Value-added Products / Services

*The bidder must detail any included value-added products and / or services for the project:*

- a) Details of after-sales support to be provided.
- b) Updates, support, and maintenance of the for the duration of the contract.
- c) Assistance with queries related to the project contents and implementation.

## **6. END OF CONTRACT PROCESSES**

The following processes shall apply during the expiry / termination of the contract:

- a) The bidder shall not claim any ownership of any personalised property of the MICT SETA resulting from this bid, as such shall remain the sole property of the MICT SETA.
- b) The bidder shall be required to submit a project closeout report to the MICT SETA.

## **7. PROJECT DURATION**

The services will commence upon signing of the Service Level Agreement for a duration of twelve (12) months.

## 8. PRICING SCHEDULE

Name of bidder: \_\_\_\_\_

RFQ number: \_\_\_\_\_

Closing date: \_\_\_\_\_

RFQ shall remain valid for acceptance for a period of **90 days** counted from the closing date.

**Bidders to provide further cost breakdown where necessary under each line item, and sub-total and the overall RFQ price (Total) should be included. The below table is for illustration only:**

Item	Requirement Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Total Cost (Excl. VAT)
	<b>APPOINTMENT OF A REPUTABLE SERVICE PROVIDER FOR THE PROVISION OF COMPREHENSIVE POPIA COMPLIANCE SERVICES</b>			
1.	Development of Regulatory Compliance Policy and review of the Regulatory Framework	1	R	R
2.	Assessment of current regulatory practices and development of the Compliance Monitoring Framework and relevant checklists (including procedures for escalation of compliance matters)	1	R	R
3.	<b>Training and Awareness:</b> Hours required for training of all parties. Governance Structures Management Risk and Compliance Champions Staff	3	R	R
4.	Support services	1	R	R
<b>Sub-Total</b>		R		
<b>VAT@15%</b>		R		
<b>TOTAL PRICE (INCLUDING VAT)</b>		R		

Complete below:

1. Delivery Address: **MICT SETA Head office**  
**Level 3 West wing, Gallagher House**  
**19 Richards Drive, Halfway House**  
**Midrand**
2. Indicate Delivery period after order receipt.....
3. Is delivery period fixed? **Yes/No**
4. Is the price(s) fixed? **Yes/No**
5. Is the quote strictly to specification? **Yes/No**

I/We, the undersigned, agree that this bidding price shall remain binding on me/us and open for acceptance for the period stipulated above.

Authorised Company Representative: \_\_\_\_\_

Capacity under which this quote is signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## 9. EVALUATION CRITERIA

MICT SETA complies with the provisions of the Public Finance Management Act, Act No. 1 of 1999 as amended; Treasury Regulations of 2005; the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, Act No. 5 of 2000; Preferential Procurement Regulations of 2022; and the MICT SETA Supply Chain Management (SCM) Policy. RFQs received will be evaluated on functional evaluation criteria, and price and specific goals comparison.

### 5.1. STAGE 1: FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ proposals submitted will be evaluated on technical/ functionality out of a maximum of **100 points**. A threshold of **70 points** out of **100 points** has been set.

Only bidders who meet or exceed the qualification threshold on technical/ functionality evaluation of **70 points** will be evaluated further on price and specific goals.

**Note:** All bidders achieving less than the set threshold of **70 points** will not move to the next stage of evaluations.

Assessment of evaluation of the functional/ technical criteria will be based on the table below:

FUNCTIONAL CRITERIA		
Category	Description	Maximum Points
Proposal	<p>The Bidder's proposal covers the minimum requirements of the bid in detail and addresses all issues as summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The bidder must specify how they intend to develop the required compliance policy and framework,</li><li>• Their method to evaluate MICT SETA's current compliance practices and make recommendations.</li><li>• Their training plan including the proposed training content for each group, any value-added services to be rendered.</li></ul> <p><b>Points on submission of the solution proposal will be allocated as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Bidder submitted solution proposal comprehensively that covers all three (03) key elements / minimum requirements of the Bid = <b>40 points</b></li><li>• Bidder submitted solution proposal that does not cover any of the key elements / minimum requirements of the Bid or lacks a comprehensive overview detailing the execution of the four elements = <b>0 points</b></li></ul> <p><b>NB: All elements of the Project Scope of Work must be covered in detail. Failure to do so will result in a non-responsive bid.</b></p>	<b>40</b>

<b>Reference Letters</b>	<p>Bidder must submit at least three (03) reference letters from different clients indicating experience in regulatory compliance or similar services in the past five (05) years. The letters should not be older than five (05) years.</p> <p><b>NB:</b> Reference Letters must be fully signed on the client's letterhead, with contact details, project description and duration / period.</p> <p><b>Points on submission on provision of signed reference letters will be allocated as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder submitted three or more signed reference letters from different clients submitted = <b>10 points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted one or two signed reference letters from different clients submitted = <b>05 points</b></li> <li>• No reference letters submitted = <b>0 points</b></li> </ul> <p><b>MICT SETA reserves the right to contact references prior to the award.</b></p>	<b>10</b>
<b>Experience of Project Team</b>	<p>The Bidder must submit profiles / CVs of key Project Team members. The profiles must reflect the experience of Governance, Risk and compliance (GRC) advisory. Bidders must clearly outline their proposed Project Manager and Project Lead.</p> <p><b>Experience of the Project Manager (10 Points)</b></p> <p><b>Points on submission of the CV or profile of the Project Manager will be allocated as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder submitted CV or profile with above five (05) years of experience in rendering GRC advisory, compliance management or similar = <b>10 points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted CV or profile with four (04) to five (05) years of experience in rendering GRC advisory, compliance management or similar = <b>08 points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted CV or profile with two (02) to three (03) years of experience in rendering GRC advisory, compliance management or similar = <b>05 points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted CV or profile with less than two (02) years of experience in rendering GRC advisory, compliance management or similar = <b>0 points</b></li> </ul> <p>The bidder's Project Manager must possess a qualification in Bachelor of Laws Qualification (LLB) or similar (<b>10 Points</b>)</p> <p><b>Points for submission of a relevant qualification will be allocated as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder submitted a copy of NQF level 8 and above qualification = <b>10 Points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted a copy of NQF level 7 qualification = <b>08 Points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted a copy of NQF level 6 qualification = <b>05 Points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted a copy of NQF level 5 or lower qualification = <b>0 Points</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Experience of the Project Lead (10 Points)</b></p> <p>The <b>Project Team Lead</b> is required to have legal expertise, with vast experience in rendering GRC advisory and other related compliance matters.</p> <p><b>Points on submission of the CV or profile of the Project Team Lead (Legal Expert) will be allocated as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder submitted CV or profile with above five (05) years of experience in the review of regulatory instruments, regulatory frameworks / compliance documents or similar services, = <b>10 points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted CV or profile with four (04) to five (05) years of experience in the review of regulatory instruments, regulatory frameworks compliance documents or similar services = <b>08 points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted CV or profile with two (02) to three (03) years of experience in the review of regulatory instruments, regulatory frameworks or similar services = <b>05 points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted CV or profile with less than two (02) years of experience in the review of regulatory instruments, regulatory frameworks / compliance documents or similar services = <b>0 points</b></li> </ul>	<b>40</b>
<b>Project Lead (legal expert)</b>		

	<p><b>Qualifications of the Project Lead (Legal Expert)</b> The bidder's Project Lead (Legal Expert) must possess a qualification in Bachelor of Laws (LLB) or similar <b>(10 Points)</b></p> <p><b>Points for submission of a relevant qualification will be allocated as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder submitted a copy of NQF level 7 and above qualification = <b>10 Points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted a copy of NQF level 6 qualification = <b>08 Points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted a copy of NQF level 5 qualification = <b>05 Points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted a copy of NQF level 4 or lower qualification = <b>0 Points</b></li> </ul> <p><b>NB : THE COPIES OF THE QUALIFICATIONS MUST BE CERTIFIED</b></p>	
<b>Methodology and Approach</b>	<p>The bidder must provide a detailed Project Implementation Methodology and Approach to executing the project.</p> <p>The methodology and approach should include the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project initiation to Closure / Handover</li> <li>• Clear activities with timelines</li> <li>• Project Resources (resources to be allocated to the projects and their roles)</li> </ul> <p><b>Points for submission of a methodology and approach will be allocated as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder submitted a detailed implementation plan covering all the above-mentioned milestones = <b>10 Points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted a high-level implementation plan with limited information on all the above-mentioned milestones = <b>05 Points</b></li> <li>• Bidder submitted an implementation plan that does not cover all project milestones = <b>00 Points</b></li> </ul>	<b>10</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>100</b>
<b>MINIMUM SCORE</b>		<b>70</b>

**N.B: Only bidders who meet the threshold of 70 points out of 100 points on functional criteria will be further evaluated for price & specific goals.**

## 5.2. STAGE 2: PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS

Only bidder/s or RFQ submissions that have met the requirements of evaluation criteria will qualify for further evaluation on Price and Specific Goals according to the 80/20 preference point system in terms of the Preferential Procurement Regulations 2022, where 80 points will be for Price and 20 points will be for Specific Goals. RFQ will be awarded to the bidder scoring the highest points.

Specific Goal to be evaluated out of **20 Points**:

<b>Specific Goal Criteria</b>	<b>Points allocation</b>
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged persons.	10
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged women.	05
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged youth.	05
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

**\*\* Enterprises that are not owned by historically disadvantaged persons will be allocated 0 points.**

**Bidder must submit the following documents:**

- Certified ID copies of the company's directors as per the CIPC documents. (Certified copies must not be older than six (06) months).
- CIPC Documents and/or share certificate (for companies with more than one (01) Director).

**Failure on the part of a service provider to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this RFQ to claim points for specific goals, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.**

## BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

**1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM**

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

**2. Bidder's declaration**

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest<sup>1</sup> in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.1.2. Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:.....  
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:.....  
.....

**3 DECLARATION**

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

<sup>1</sup> the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium<sup>2</sup> will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

..... .....

Signature

Date

..... .....

Position

Name of bidder

<sup>2</sup> Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

## PREFERENCE PROCUREMENT CLAIM FORM

### PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

**NB:** **BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022**

#### **1 GENERAL CONDITIONS**

- 1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:
  - the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
  - the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).
- 1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**
  - a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
  - b) the **80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.
- 1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:
  - (a) Price; and
  - (b) Specific Goals.
- 1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**  
The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:
 

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
<b>Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS</b>	<b>100</b>
- 1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.
- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

## 2 DEFINITIONS

- (a) “**tender**” means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) “**price**” means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) “**rand value**” means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) “**tender for income-generating contracts**” means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) “**the Act**” means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

## 3 FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

### 3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

#### 3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
-------	----	-------

$$Ps = 80 \left( 1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad Ps = 90 \left( 1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

**Where:**

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

### 3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

#### 3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
-------	----	-------

$$Ps = 80 \left( 1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad Ps = 90 \left( 1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$$

**Where:**

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

## 4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:

4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—

(a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or

(b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

**Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.**  
**Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)**

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) <i>(To be completed by the organ of state)</i>	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) <i>(To be completed by the tenderer)</i>
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged persons.	10	
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged women.	05	
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged youth.	05	

#### **DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM**

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number: .....

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM  
 Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium  
 One-person business/sole proprietor  
 Close corporation  
 Public Company  
 Personal Liability Company  
 (Pty) Limited  
 Non-Profit Company  
 State Owned Company  
[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
  - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
  - (b) recover costs, losses, or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
  - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
  - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders, and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
  - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

**SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)**

**SURNAME AND NAME:** .....

**DATE:** .....

**ADDRESS:** .....